arXiv:1511.03746v2 [math.DS] 13 Nov 2015

Elena A. Kudryavtseva

Helicity is the only invariant of incompressible flows whose derivative is continuous in C^1–topology

Let Q be a smooth compact orientable 3–manifold with smooth boundary ∂Q. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of exact 2–forms $B \in \Omega^2(Q)$ such that $j^*_{\partial Q} B = 0$, where $j_{\partial Q} : \partial Q \to Q$ is the inclusion map. The group $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Diff}_0(Q)$ of self-diffeomorphisms of Q isotopic to the identity acts on the set $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$, $(h, B) \mapsto h^* B$. Let $\mathcal{B}^\circ$ be the set of 2–forms $B \in \mathcal{B}$ without zeros. We prove that every $\mathcal{D}$–invariant functional $I : \mathcal{B}^\circ \to \mathbb{R}$ having a regular and continuous derivative with respect to the C^1–topology can be *locally* (and, if $Q = M \times S^1$ with $\partial Q \neq \varnothing$, *globally* on the set of all 2–forms $B \in \mathcal{B}^\circ$ admitting a cross-section isotopic to $M \times \{*\}$) expressed in terms of the helicity.

Key words: incompressible flow, exact divergence-free vector field, helicity, flux, topological invariants of magnetic fields.

MSC: 35Q35, 37A20, 37C15, 53C65, 53C80.

§ 1. Examples of $\mathcal{D}$–invariant functionals on the set $\mathcal{B}$ of exact incompressible flows

Let us define the flax and helicity.

Definition 1. (A) Let $\Pi \in C_2(Q)$ be a 2–chain in Q with boundary $\partial\Pi \in C_1(\partial Q)$. Let $[\![\Pi]\!] \in \varkappa$ be the projection of the relative homology class $[\Pi] \in H_2(Q, \partial Q; \mathbb{Q})$ into the quotient space

$$\varkappa := H_2(Q, \partial Q; \mathbb{Q}) / \mathrm{Im}\, p_* \cong \ker(j_{\partial Q})_* = \partial(\varkappa), \tag{1}$$

where $p_* : H_2(Q; \mathbb{Q}) \to H_2(Q, \partial Q; \mathbb{Q})$, $(j_{\partial Q})_* : H_1(\partial Q; \mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(Q; \mathbb{Q})$. The *flux* on the set $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by the formula $\mathrm{Flux} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\varkappa, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{Flux}(B)[\![\Pi]\!] := \int_\Pi B$.

(B) Let $\varkappa^\perp \subseteq H_1(\partial Q; \mathbb{Q})$ be an arbitrary vector space such that $H_1(\partial Q; \mathbb{Q}) = \varkappa^\perp \oplus \ker(j_{\partial Q})_*$. The *helicity* on the set $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the subspace $\varkappa^\perp$ is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}(B) := \int_Q B \wedge A, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}, \tag{2}$$

where $A \in \Omega^1(Q)$ is any 1–form on Q such that $dA = B$ and $\oint_\gamma A = 0$ for any loop $\gamma : S^1 \to \partial Q$ of homology class $[\gamma] \in \varkappa^\perp$.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 15–01–06302-a) and the program "Leading Scientific Schools" (grant no. NSh-581.2014.1).

© Elena A. Kudryavtseva, 2015

It can readily be seen that Flux and $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}$ are well defined and $\mathcal{D}$–invariant.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $Q = M \times S^1$ where M is a compact smooth oriented surface with nonempty boundary. Then there exists an exact positive area form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ on M. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}^\circ$ be such that $j^*_{M\times\{0\}}B = \omega$ (i.e. $M \times \{0\}$ is a cross-section for the 2–form B), $S_1, \dots, S_d \subseteq \partial M$ be the boundary circles, $\pi_M : Q \to M$ and $\pi_{S^1} : Q \to S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the projections. Since B is exact, due to [1, 2] there exist a diffeomorphism $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and a function $H \in C^\infty(Q)$ such that $B = \psi^* B_{\omega,H}$, $H|_{S_1\times S^1} \equiv 0$ and $H|_{S_i\times\{t\}} = \text{const} =: h_i(t)$ for $t \in S^1$ and $i \in \{2, \dots, d\}$, where

$$B_{\omega,H} := \pi_M^*\omega - dH \wedge d\pi_{S^1}. \tag{3}$$

For $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, we fix a point $x_i \in S_i$ and paths $\gamma_{ik} \subset M$ from x_i to the points x_k, $k \neq i$. Put $\Pi_{ik} := \gamma_{ik} \times S^1$. The flux and helicity of the 2–form B are as follows:

(A) the classes $[\![M \times \{0\}]\!], [\![\Pi_{12}]\!], \dots, [\![\Pi_{1d}]\!] \in \varkappa$ form a basis of $\varkappa$, and the flux

$$\mathrm{Flux}(B)[\![M \times \{0\}]\!] = \int_M \omega, \qquad \mathrm{Flux}(B)[\![\Pi_{\ell k}]\!] = \int_{S^1} h_\ell(t)dt - \int_{S^1} h_k(t)dt;$$

(B) for any $\ell, k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, we take as $\varkappa^\perp$ the subspace $\varkappa^\perp_{\ell k} \subseteq H_1(\partial Q; \mathbb{Q})$ generated by the homology classes of the loops $\gamma_k := \{x_k\} \times S^1$ and $S_i \times \{0\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus \{\ell\}$. By the Stokes formula, the helicity is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp_{11}}(B) = -2\int_Q H(\pi_M^*\omega) \wedge (d\pi_{S^1}) = -2\mathrm{Cal}_\omega(\widetilde{\varphi}),$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp_{\ell k}}(B) - \mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp_{\ell\ell}}(B) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp_{\ell\ell}}(B) - \mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp_{kk}}(B)) = \mathrm{Flux}(B)[\![M \times \{0\}]\!]\,\mathrm{Flux}(B)[\![\Pi_{\ell k}]\!]$$

for $k \neq \ell$. Here $\mathrm{Cal}_\omega : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_\omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Calabi homomorphism [3, 4], $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_\omega$ is a universal cover of the group $\mathcal{G}_\omega$ of symplectomorphisms of the surface (M, ω) with C^∞-topology, the element $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_\omega$ is determined via the 2–form (3).

§ 2. Differentiable functionals on $\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}''}$–connected subsets of $\mathcal{B}''$

Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}_{\varkappa^\perp}$) the set of 1–forms $A \in \Omega^1(Q)$ such that $\oint_\gamma A = 0$ for any loop $\gamma : S^1 \to \partial Q$ (respectively any loop of homology class $[\gamma] \in \varkappa^\perp$, cf. Definition 1 (B)). Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\varkappa, \mathbb{R})$ be a linear function on (1) and

$$\text{either } \mathcal{B}' := \mathrm{Flux}^{-1}(\Phi) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A}, \quad \text{or } \mathcal{B}' := \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}' := \mathcal{A}_{\varkappa^\perp}. \tag{4}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{B}'$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ are $\mathcal{D}$–invariant, and the set $\mathcal{B}' - B$ is C^0–open in the vector space $d\mathcal{A}'$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}'$.

Let $\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}'$ be a C^1–open subset (for example, $\mathcal{B}'' = \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{B}^\circ$).

DEFINITION 2. A functional $I : \mathcal{B}'' \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *differentiable* at a point $B \in \mathcal{B}''$ if there is a linear functional $D_B I : \mathcal{A}' \to \mathbb{R}$ (referred to as the *derivative* of the functional I at the point B) such that, for every smooth family of 1–forms $A_u \in \mathcal{A}'$, $-\varepsilon < u < \varepsilon$, for which $dA_0 = 0$, we have

$$\left.\frac{d}{du}\right|_{u=0} I(B + dA_u) = D_B I\left(\left.\frac{d}{du}\right|_{t=0} A_u\right).$$

The derivative $D_B I$ is said to be *regular* if it is a regular element of the dual space $(\mathcal{A}')^*$, i.e., $D_B I(A') = \int_Q K_I(B) \wedge A'$, $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$, for some measurable 2–form $K_I(B)$ on Q; this 2–form is referred to as the *density* of the functional $D_B I$. Let I be differentiable everywhere on $\mathcal{B}''$ and have a regular derivative. We say that the derivative is *continuous with respect to the C^k–topology on $\mathcal{B}''$* (for $k \geqslant 0$) if its density is a continuous map $K_I : \mathcal{B}'' \to \Gamma^0(\Lambda^2 T^*Q)$ with respect to the C^k-topology on $\mathcal{B}''$ and C^0–topology on $\Gamma^0(\Lambda^2 T^*Q)$.

Example 2. The helicity (2) is differentiable everywhere on $\mathcal{B}$ (and hence on $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$). Its derivative is regular and has density $K_{\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}}(B) = B$. Therefore, its derivative is continuous with respect to every topology on $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 3. A subset $\mathcal{B}''' \subseteq \mathcal{B}''$ is said to be $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}''}$*–connected* if every pair of its elements with the same value $c \in \mathbb{R}$ of helicity $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}$ can be joined by a piecewise smooth path in $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}^{-1}(c) \cap \mathcal{B}''$.

Lemma 1 (examples of $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}''}$–connected subsets). (A) *If $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}$ in (4) and $B \in \mathcal{B}''$ is such a 2-form that $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}(B) \neq 0$ then every sufficiently small C^1–neighbourhood $\mathcal{B}'''$ of the 2–form B in $\mathcal{B}''$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}''}$–connected.*

(B) *Let $Q = M \times S^1$ as in Example 1. Let (4), and let $\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{B}^\circ$ be the set of all 2–forms $\psi^* B_{\omega,H}$ where $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $B_{\omega,H} \in \mathcal{B}'$ as in (3). Then the whole set $\mathcal{B}''$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}''}$–connected.*

Proof. (A) Let us join any pair $B_0, B_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}^{-1}(c) \cap \mathcal{B}'''$ by the path $\{((1-u)B_0 + uB_1)\sqrt{c/\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}((1-u)B_0 + uB_1)}\}_{u\in[0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}^{-1}(c)$. This path is contained in $\mathcal{B}''$, since $\mathcal{B}''$ is C^1–open and $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}$ is C^0–continuous.

(B) The set $\mathcal{B}''$ is C^0–open (and hence C^1–open too) in $\mathcal{B}'$. In view of [1], every pair of 2–forms from $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}^{-1}(c) \cap \mathcal{B}''$ has the form $\psi_0^* B_{\omega,H_0}, \psi_1^* B_{\lambda\omega,H_1}$ for some $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}$, $H_0, H_1 \in C^\infty(Q)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a positive area form $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ such that $B_{\omega,H_0}, B_{\lambda\omega,H_1} \in \mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}^{-1}(c)$. Since every pair $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ can be joined by a piecewise smooth path $\{\psi_u\}_{u\in[0,1]}$ in the group $\mathcal{D}$ [1], we obtain the path $\{\psi_u^* B_{(1-u+u\lambda)\omega, a(u)H_0 + uH_1}\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}^{-1}(c) \cap \mathcal{B}''$, which joins our 2–forms $\psi_0^* B_{\omega,H_0}$ and $\psi_1^* B_{\lambda\omega,H_1}$, where $a(u) := 1/(1-u+u\lambda) - u/\lambda$, $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$.

§ 3. Main result

Our result was announced in [5]. It is similar to the results of [4, 6]. We prove it by the technique of the paper [4].

Theorem. *Let $\varkappa, \varkappa^\perp, \mathcal{B}'$ be defined as in (1), (2), (4). Let $I : \mathcal{B}' \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathcal{D}$–invariant functional on $\mathcal{B}'$ differentiable on a C^1–open subset $\mathcal{B}'' \subseteq \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{B}^\circ$ and having a regular and continuous derivative with respect to the C^1–topology on $\mathcal{B}''$. Then the restriction of this functional to every $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}''}$–connected subset $\mathcal{B}''' \subseteq \mathcal{B}''$ (e.g. one from Lemma 1), can be expressed using the helicity, i.e., $I|_{\mathcal{B}'''} = h \circ \mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}'''}$ for some function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.*

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $I : \mathcal{B}' \to \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$–invariant functional differentiable at a point $B \in \mathcal{B}''$. Then $D_B I(A') = D_B I(\psi^* A')$ for every 1–form $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ and for every diffeomorphism $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\psi^* B = B$.*

PROOF. In the notation of Definition 2, we have $I(B+dA_t)=I(B+\psi^*dA_t)=I(B+d\widetilde{A}_t)$ for $\widetilde{A}_t:=\psi^*A_t$, and $d\widetilde{A}_0=0$. Differentiating the relation $I(B+dA_t)=I(B+d\widetilde{A}_t)$ proved above with respect to t at $t=0$, we obtain the desired equality for $A'=\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}A_t$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

COROLLARY. *Suppose that, under the assumptions of Lemma 2, the derivative D_BI at the point B is regular and its density $K_I(B)$ is a continuous 2–form on Q. Then $K_I(B)=\psi^*K_I(B)$. If the 2–form B has no zeros (i.e. $B\in\mathcal{B}^\circ$) then $K_I(B)=\lambda_I(B)\,B$ for some function $\lambda_I(B)\in C(Q)$ that is constant on every integral curve of the field of kernels of the 2–form B.*

PROOF. The equality of Lemma 2 can be represented in the form $\int_Q K_I(B)\wedge A'=\int_Q K_I(B)\wedge\psi^*A'$. But the left-hand side of this equality equals $\int_Q(\psi^*K_I(B))\wedge\psi^*A'$. Thus $\int_Q(\psi^*K_I(B)-K_I(B))\wedge\psi^*A'=0$. Since the 1–form $A'\in\mathcal{A}'$ is arbitrary (and, in particular, one can take $A'\in\mathcal{A}\subseteq\mathcal{A}'$ supported in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of an inner point of Q) and the 2–form $K_I(B)$ is continuous on Q, we obtain the first desired equality $K_I(B)=\psi^*K_I(B)$.

If B has no zeros then any point of $Q\setminus\partial Q$ has a neighbourhood $U\approx(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^3$ in $Q\setminus\partial Q$ with regular coordinates $w=(x,y,z)\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^3$ such that $B|_U=dx\wedge dy$. By the equality proved above, the 2–form $K_I(B)|_U=:L(w)dy\wedge dz+M(w)dz\wedge dx+N(w)dx\wedge dy$ is invariant under transformations $\psi:w\mapsto\widetilde{w}:=w+(0,0,f(w))$, for every function $f\in C^\infty((-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^3)$ with a compact support and $\partial f/\partial z>-1$, where $L,M,N\in C((-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^3)$. We observe that

$$(\psi^*K_I(B)-K_I(B))\,|_U=(L(\widetilde{w})-L(w))dy\wedge dz+(M(\widetilde{w})-M(w))dz\wedge dx+$$

$$+(N(\widetilde{w})-N(w))dx\wedge dy+(L(\widetilde{w})dy-M(\widetilde{w})dx)\wedge df(w).$$

For any point $w_0=(x_0,y_0,z_0)\in(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^3$, take a function f_1 such that $f_1(w)=x-x_0$ in a neighbourhood of the point w_0. Since $f_1(w_0)=0$, we have $0=(\psi^*K_I(B)-K_I(B))|_{w=w_0}=L(w_0)dy\wedge dx$, thus $L(w_0)=0$. One shows in a similar way that $M(w_0)=0$. Therefore $L\equiv M\equiv0$, thus $K_I(B)|_U=N(w)\,B|_U$.

Thus the relation $K_I(B)=\lambda_I(B)\,B$ is proved on $Q\setminus\partial Q$. Hence it holds on Q too, since B has no zeros. Since $0=(\psi^*K_I(B)-K_I(B))|_U=(N(\widetilde{w})-N(w))B|_U$ and f is arbitrary, the function $\lambda_I(B)|_U=N(x,y,z)$ does not depend on z, i.e. it is constant on integral curves of the field $\ker B$. This completes the proof of the corollary.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let us show that, for every 2–form $B\in\mathcal{B}''$, the function $\lambda_I(B)\in C(Q)$ from the corollary is constant on Q. Recall that a vector field is said to be *topologically transitive* if it has an everywhere dense integral curve. Let μ be a volume form on Q. By a result [7], the vector field $\overline{B}$ with $i_{\overline{B}}\mu=B$ can be C^1–approximated by a sequence $\{\overline{B}_n\}$ of topologically transitive divergence-free C^1–vector fields on Q tangent to ∂Q. Since $\overline{B}$ is exact, it follows from the proof in [7] that $\overline{B}_n$ can be chosen to be exact and having the same flux as $\overline{B}$. Every such a 2–form B_n can be C^1–approximated by a smooth 2–form $B_n^s\in\mathcal{B}'$. Since $\mathcal{B}''$ is C^1–open in $\mathcal{B}'$, we have $B_n^s\in\mathcal{B}''$.

Thus, for every pair of points $w,w'\in Q$, there are sequences $\{B_n^s\}$ in $\mathcal{B}''$ and $\{w_n\},\{w_n'\}$ in Q such that $B_n^s\to B$ in the C^1–topology, $w_n\to w$, $w_n'\to w'$, and

every pair w_n, w'_n is contained in one integral curve of $\overline{B}^s_n$. Since $\lambda_I(B^s_n)$ is constant on every integral curve of $\overline{B}^s_n$, we have $\lambda_I(B^s_n)(w_n) = \lambda_I(B^s_n)(w'_n)$. Since the map $K_I : \mathcal{B}'' \to \Gamma^0(\Lambda^2 T^*Q)$ is continuous, it follows from the corollary and absence of zeros of every $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}''$ that the map $\lambda_I : \mathcal{B}'' \to C(Q)$ is continuous with respect to the C^1-topology on $\mathcal{B}''$ and C^0-topology on $C(Q)$. Thus $\lambda_I(B)(w)$ and $\lambda_I(B)(w')$ are limits of $\{\lambda_I(B^s_n)(w_n) = \lambda_I(B^s_n)(w'_n)\}$, so they coincide, thus $\lambda_I(B) = \text{const}$.

We have $D_B I(A') = \lambda_I(B) \int_Q B \wedge A' = \lambda_I(B)\, D_B \mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}(A')$. Thus, the functional $I|_{\mathcal{B}''}$ is locally constant on every level set of the helicity. Since the set $\mathcal{B}''' \subseteq \mathcal{B}''$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}|_{\mathcal{B}''}$–connected (cf. Definition 3), the functional $I|_{\mathcal{B}'''}$ is constant on $\mathcal{H}^{-1}_{\varkappa^\perp}(c) \cap \mathcal{B}'''$, i.e., it is equal to some constant $h(c)$ depending only on $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (for some function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$). Thus, $I(B) = h(\mathcal{H}_{\varkappa^\perp}(B))$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}'''$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The author wishes to express gratitude to G. Hornig for stating the problem and useful discussions, L.V. Polterovich and D. Peralta-Salas for their interest, useful discussions and indicating the papers [8] and [7].

References

[1] G.R. Jensen, "The scalar curvature of left invariant Riemannian metrics", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **20** (1971), 1125–1143.

[2] A. Banjaga, "Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique", *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **53** (1978), 174–227.

[3] E. Calabi, "On the group of automorphisms of a symplectic manifold", Lectures at the Symposium in honor of S. Bochner, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970, 1–26.

[4] E.A. Kudryavtseva, "Conjugation invariants on the group of area-preserving diffeomorphisms of the disk", *Math. Notes*, **95**:6 (2014), 877–880.

[5] E. Kudryavtseva, "Topological invariants of ideal magnetic fields are functions in helicity or have no derivative with C^1-continuous density.", *Proc. Intern. Conf. "Knots and links in fluid flows: from helicity to knot energy"*, IUM Publications, Moscow, 2015, 9–10.

[6] D. Serre, "Les invariants du premier ordre de l'équation d'Euler en dimension trois", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B*, **289**:4 (1979), A267-A270; *Physica D*, **13**:1-2 (1984), 105–136.

[7] M. Bessa, "A generic incompressible flow is topological mixing", *C. R. Math. Sci. Paris*, **346** (2008), 1169–1174.

[8] C. Bonatti, S. Crovisier, "Récurrence et généricité", *Invent. Math.*, **158** (2004), 33–104.

Elena A. Kudryavtseva
Moscow State University
E-mail: eakudr@mech.math.msu.su